

Greener Green Energy Technologies: Reducing Environmental Impacts in Solar Modules

Presenter: Samantha Reese ASES Solar 2023 Boulder Colorado August 9th, 2023

Carbon Budget

- COP26 and Paris Accords aim to limit temperature rise to 2°C and hopefully 1.5°C
- IPCC Carbon budget to reach the goals
 - 300-900 GtCO₂e (17%-83% confidence).
- Government aims to reduce CO₂e emissions 50% by 2030^[1]

Approximate global warming relative to 1850–1900 until temperature limit (°C)	Estimated remaining carbon budgets from the beginning of 2020 (GtCO ₂ e). Likelihood of limiting global warming to temperature limit			Higher or lower reductions in accompanying non-CO2 emissions can increase or
	17%	50%	83%	decrease the values by 220 GtCO ₂ e or more
1.5 °C	900	500	300	
2.0 °C	2300	1350	900	

https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Full_Report.pdf

Si and CdTe as "Model" Cases

• Consulting experts determined current state of art "recipes"



[1] Hope M. Wikoff, Samantha B. Reese, Matthew O. Reese, Embodied energy and carbon from the manufacture of cadmium telluride and silicon photovoltaics, Joule, 2022, ISSN 2542-4351, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2022.06.006.

Methodology



NREL

Hicks. NREL. Photo 63619

4

Wikoff, Hope M., Samantha B. Reese, and Matthew O. Reese. 2022. "Embodied Energy and Carbon from the Manufacture of Cadmium Telluride and Silicon Photovoltaics." Joule, June. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2022.06.006.

Si and CdTe as "Model" Cases



 Silicon based solar cells are most dominant, 90%+ of global market. [1]

5

[3] Hope M. Wikoff, Samantha B. Reese, Matthew O. Reese, Embodied energy and carbon from the manufacture of cadmium telluride and silicon photovoltaics, Joule, 2022, ISSN 2542-4351, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2022.06.006.

Si and CdTe as "Model" Cases



- Silicon based solar cells are most dominant, 90%+ of global market. [1]
 - CdTe is 40% of the U.S. axis-based tracking market, and ~25% of cumulative U.S. installations >1 MW. [2]

US Installations >1MW



NREL

2020 Grid Mixes



ISSN 2542-4351, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2022.06.006.

NREL | 7

Embodied Carbon for 1 TW



Each energy mix has ~25% difference as you step up

US manufactured CdTe vs China manufactured SI mitigates over 786Mt CO₂e [1] Hope M. Wikoff, Samantha B. Reese, Matthew O. Reese, Embodied energy and carbon from the manufacture of cadmium telluride and silicon photovoltaics, Joule, 2022, ISSN 2542-4351, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2022.06.006.

Implications...

- Estimates of installed PV by 2050 vary (~7-70 TW)
- Assuming all Si PV produced on 2020 China grid*
 → ~2-17.5% of IPCC's 1.5°C total remaining budget
- Assuming all CdTe PV produced on 2020 US grid*
 → ~0.6-6.3% of IPCC's 1.5°C total remaining budget
- Emerging thin-film PV would be on par with CdTe

*Doesn't include racking, inverters, or other BOS

ear)	100.	Α
'h/y€		
(PW	80	_
in 2050	60	-
ctricity	40	-
V ele	20	
Global F	0	IPCC IPCC 5 th AR SR1.5°C

Energy Payback Time

- CdTe contributed 0.3% of the material embodied energy
 - Silicon Contributes 76%!
 - CdTe is produced as a byproduct





Energy Payback Times

 Reduced Glass and Aluminum in silicon modules due to increased structural support from wafers



https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc_wg3_ar5_full.pdf [page 753] https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/81900.pdf



- Manufacturing location and technology choice can mean 3X GHG difference
- PV manufacturing needs decarbonization
 - Frame
 - New material such as densified wood?
 - Glass
 - 52% of CdTe emissions [90 kgCO₂e]
 - 18% of Si [62.4 kgCO₂e]
 - Semiconductor



 Carbon tax could mean ~\$0.02-0.04/W difference for Si vs. CdTe manufactured in US

Solar Futures Projection

- More than 2.5 billion panels to reach Solar Futures(1TW in USA by 2035)
- 77 billion kilograms of glass required
- Nearly 17 years of the entire US flat glass industry to reach this goal
 - without construction,
 Automotive, and other Flat
 Glass Products



Carbon of Glass

$$Na_2CO_3 + SiO_2 \rightarrow Na_2SiO_3 + CO_2$$

Process Emissions can be a significant part of Glass Manufacturing-which will not be impacted by grid renewable permeation

- CO2 evolution from chemical reactions can reach up to 36% of overall CO2 emissions, or 17%wt of input lost as CO₂ [1][2]
- Need for carbon capture will persist, unless entirely closed loop process is implemented

A. Surgenor, C. Holcroft, P. Gill, and G. DeBrincat, "Building glass into the circular economy," *arup.com*, Sep. 2018. <u>https://ukgbc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/How-to-guide_Building-glass-into-CE.pdf</u> (accessed Jun. 29, 2023). Office of Air and Radiation, "Technical Support Document for the Glass Manufacturing Sector," Jan. 2009, [Online]. Available: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-02/documents/tsd_glass_epa_1-22-09.pdf



Cullet Use

Positives

- Approximately 10% of input
 - saves 3% in overall energy[1]
 - 1.2 tons of raw material per ton of cullet [1]
- Due to chemistry no reaction energy required, only energy to melt, and lower melting point

Negatives

- quality issues (glass composition variability, contamination)
 - Transportation distance
 large impact on carbon
 savings [1]
- Recycled glass flow too small for ramping solar glass demand

Alter Fritz, 2009 [link]



Pascal Auricht, 2007 [<u>link</u>]

Global PV growth (MW/year) 500000 400000 200000 100000 0 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 2022

Solar installatio

BNEF solar growth

E. Vieitez, P. Eder, A. Villanueva, and H. Saveyn, "End-of-Waste Criteria for Glass Cullet: Technical Proposals," European Commission Joint Research Centre, Luxembourg, ISBN 978-92-79-23101-8, 2011. [Online]. Available: https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC68281/jrc68281.pdf

M. Hestin, S. de Veron, and S. Burgos, "Economic study on recycling of building glass in Europe," Deloitte, Apr. 2016. Accessed: Mar. 09, 2022. [Online]. Available: <u>https://glassforeurope.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Economic-study-on-recycling-of-building-glass-in-Europe-Deloitte.pd</u> J. Vallette, "Post Consumer Cullet in California." https://healthybuilding.net/uploads/files/post-consumer-cullet-in-california.pdf (accessed Jun. 29, 2023).

Thank you to a great Project Team: Hope Wikoff, Matthew Reese, Samantha Reese

Questions?

www.nrel.gov

Samantha.Reese@nrel.gov

Hope M. Wikoff, Samantha B. Reese, Matthew O. Reese, Embodied energy and carbon from the manufacture of cadmium telluride and silicon photovoltaics, Joule, 2022, ISSN 2542-4351, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2022.06.006.

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by the United States Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Advanced Manufacturing Office now the Industrial Efficiency and Decarbonization and Advanced Materials and Manufacturing Technologies Offices. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

NREL/PR-6A20-87035

